Henry's Constants Prediction Study of VOC in Several Types Solvents by UNIFAC-FV

Hendriyana

Chemical Engineering Departement Jenderal Achmad Yani University yana-hendri2001@yahoo.com

Abstract. Absorption of hydrophobic volatile organic compounds (VOC) such as toluene, was studied. In order to characterise the absorption capacity of toluene/oil solvent systems, the Henry's constant (H) was determined. Prediction of Henry's constants are also carried out using UNIFAC-FV model which is known to be suitable for hydrocarbon gases and high-boiling point hydrocarbon solvent.kg/cm², 220 kg/cm² dan 260 kg/cm².

Kata kunci: VOC, oil, Hendry's constant, UNIFAC-FC and toluene

1 Introduction

Nowadays, many industries generate malodorous and/or toxic volatile organic compounds (VOC's). Because the distance separating residential and industrial areas has been shortening, odour nuisance has grown. Thus authorities receive more and more complaints from communities. At present, several processes are available to remove odorous compounds at low concentration: chemical scrubbing, biological treatment and adsorption. Despite being one of the most commonly used process in gas recovering, adsorption can not be considered as a final treatment but only as a pollution relocation and/or concentration step. Therefore, it requires additional treatments such as thermal desorption and thermal oxidation. Concerning the chemical way, scrubbing is mainly processed with an aqueous solution (acidic, basic, oxidant) because the targeted compounds are more or less soluble in water. The gaseous compound is transferred into the liquid scrubbing solution, and then removed by chemical reactions. The mass transfer rate is enhanced by the chemical reaction taking place in the liquid phase. However, some VOC's are not very soluble in water (called hydrophobic VOC's) and their mass transfer from the gas phase to the aqueous phase is not easy to achieve, even with the help of chemical reactions. As a consequence, the removal efficiencies remain very low. Because of their hydrophobic character, the efficiency of biological treatment of such compounds is also limited. To the best of our knowledge, there is yet no process that can successfully remove hydrophobic VOC's at low concentration from exhausted industrial gas streams.

In our studies, VOC is represented using toluene. We presume that a type of oil will be a suitable solvent to scrub-out the of VOC. In this paper, we report our theoretical study to predict the absorption capacity of interesting solvents based on the solubility of gas in an infinite dilution. In this case, the absorption capacity is represented using Henry's constant which may be predicted using a thermodynamic method.

2. Thermodynamic Background

Solubility as a fundamental factor in the absorption capacity of oil for low boiling point tars has been studied extensively for various volatile organic matters [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Solubility of gas in liquid may be expressed as follows:

 $y_i.P = H.w_i$

Since effect of the pressure on Henry's constant is negligible at low pressures of less than 2 atm. The dimensionless constant H defined by M.-D. Vuong, et.al. [1] with following equation: $C_{i,G} = H^*.C_{i,L}$

In both equations, Henry's constant can be caculated from the measurements on mol fraction of solute in the gas phase (y_i) , total pressure (P), weight fraction of solute in liquid phase (w_i) , molar concentration of solute in gas and liquid phase $(C_{i,G})$ and $C_{i,L}$ respectively), all at the equillibrium conditions. Typical values of Henry's constant for toluene in several solvents are presented in Table 1. The higher $1/H^*$ (dimensionless) or the lower H (weight-fraction Henry's constant, Equation 1) is the more soluble toluene in the respective solvents.

Table 1 Henry's constant of toluene in four solvents [1]

Solvent	1/H* (dimensionless) Equation 2	H (atm/weight frac.) Equation 1		
di-(2-ethyl)hexyladipate (DEHA)	2821	0.0794		
n-Heksadecane	990	0.1894		
Oleyl alcohol (70%)	1637	0.1324		
Water	4	60.8695		

2.1 UNIFAC FV

A number of empirical approaches to the estimation of H at low pressure are available using group/bond contribution or structural parameters. Promising methods for correlation at ambient condition are those making use of the above relationships for activity or fugacity coefficients for dilute aqueous dilution. Limiting activity coefficients are popular in engineering applications (Sandler, 1996) and lead directly to H after a combination with vapor pressure. The weight-fraction Henry's constant, H_1 , can be given thermodynamically by

$$H_1 = \gamma_1^{\infty} \frac{M_2}{M_1} f_1^{oL} \tag{2}$$

where γ_1^{∞} is the activity coefficient at infinite dilution, M_1 and M_2 are the molecular weights, and f_1^{oL} is the fugacity of pure solute in the liquid state. The activity coefficient at infinite dilution can be estimated based on the UNIFAC-FV model (Oishi and Prausnitz,1978) and presented in the following expression of the weight-fraction Henry's constant [3]:

$$\ln H_{1} = \ln f_{1}^{oL} + \ln \frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}} + \frac{z}{2} M_{1} q_{1} \ln \frac{r_{2} q_{1}}{r_{1} q_{2}} - \frac{z}{2} M_{1} q_{1} \ln \frac{r_{1} q_{2}}{r_{2} q_{1}} + \dots
3C_{1} \ln \left[\left(\frac{z^{2}}{v_{1}^{3}} - 1 \right) / \left(\frac{z^{2}}{v_{1}^{3}} - 1 \right) \right] - c \left(\frac{\overline{v_{1}}}{v_{2}} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{z^{2}} \right)^{-1} + \dots
\Sigma_{k} v_{k}^{(i)} * \left(\ln \Gamma_{k}^{(m)} - \ln \Gamma_{k}^{(i)} \right) \tag{3}$$

Parameters r_i and q_i are relative molecular volume and surface area respectively. They can calculated using the following equation from the sum of molar group volume and group surface area parameters (R_k and Q_k in Table 2).

$$r_i' = \frac{1}{M_i} \Sigma_k \nu_k^{(i)} R_k \tag{4}$$

$$q_i = \frac{1}{M_i} \Sigma_k v_k^{(i)} Q_k \tag{5}$$

Parameter $v_k^{(t)}$ is the number of groups of type k in molecule i.

Table 2 Group volume and surfaces area parameters [11]

Main grup	Subgrup	k	R_k	Q_k
1 CH ₂	CH_3	1	0,9011	0,848
	CH_2	2	0,6744	0,540
	CH	3	0,4469	0,288
	C	4	0,2195	0,000
2 C=C	CH=CH	6	1,1167	0,867
3 ACH	ACH	10	0,5313	0,400
4 ACCH ₂	$ACCH_3$	12	1,2663	0,968
5 OH	OH	15	1,0000	1,200
9 CH ₂ CO	CH ₂ CO	20	1,4457	1,180
13 CH ₂ O	CH2O	26	0,9183	0,780
	CH-O	27	0,6908	0,468

The last term in equation (1) is the residual activity coefficient due to interaction among groups. The group residual activity coefficient, Γ_m^i is calculated using the following equations.

$$\ln \Gamma_k = M_k Q_k^{\prime} \left[1 - \ln \left(\sum_m \theta_m^{\prime} \Psi_{mk} \right) - \sum_m \left(\frac{\theta_m^{\prime} \Psi_{km}}{\theta_m^{\prime} \Psi_{nm}} \right) \right]$$
 (6)

where

$$\theta_m' = \frac{Q_m' W_m}{\sum_n Q_n' W_n} \tag{7}$$

$$Q_k' = \frac{Q_k}{M_k} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\Psi_{jk} = \exp\left(-\frac{a_{jk}}{T}\right) \tag{9}$$

The group area parameter per gram Q_k is defined by Q_k/M_k is the weight fraction of group m. The group-interaction parameters a_{mn} and a_{nm} (having units of degrees Kelvin) are factors for the perature dependency of interaction (see Table 3). While the group residual activity deficient of group $k\Gamma_k^{(i)}$ in equation (6) is a solution containing only molecules of type i.

The reduced volume in equation (10) are given by

$$\overline{v_1} = \frac{v_1}{15,17br_1} \tag{10}$$

$$\overline{v_2} = \frac{v_2}{15,17br_2} \tag{11}$$

where v_1 and v_2 are, the volume per gram of tar model and that of solvent when equilibrium condition. In the equations (10) and (11), the characteristic parameters are usually: b = 1.32 for alkanes and 1.18 for water as solvents (Antunes and Tassios, 1983). The value of b is 1.4 for various organic compounds as solvent (Nocon et al., (1983)) and $z = 10^{[2]}$.

Table 3 The group-interaction parameters	a_{mn}	and a_{nm}	(K)
--	----------	--------------	-----

		3*							
m∖n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	
1	0	-200	61,13	76,5	986,5	697,2	1318	476,4	
2	2520	0	340,7	4102	693,9	1509	634,2	524,5	
3	-11,2	-94,78	0	167	636,1	637,3	903,8	25,77	
4	-69,7	-269,7	-146,8	0	803,2	603,2	5695	-52,1	
5	156,4	8694	89,6	25,82	0	-137,1	353,3	84	
6	16,51	-52,39	-50	-44,5	249,1	0	-181	23,39	
7	300	692,7	362,3	377,6	-229,1	289,6	0	-195,4	
9	26,76	-82,92	140,1	365,8	164,5	108,7	472,5	0	

2.2 Pseudo-Component

Vegetable oils are essentially mixtures of saturated and unsaturated triacylglycerides (TAGs). It is difficult to know the exact distribution of the fatty acid chains in vegetable oils. One possible solution to the problem is representing the oil as a mixture of simple TAGs (tripalmitin, triolein, etc.) in accordance with the fatty acid composition of the natural oil. In this this work, we used another alternative by means of representing the oil by a single pseudocomponent having the same molecular weight and degree of unsaturation to the original oil.

In this work, the natural oil is represented by a single pseudo-triacylglyceride with the following molecular structure [7]:

The term in the square brackets represents the triglyceride functional group. The values of m and n reproduce molecular weight and degree of un-saturation of the natural oil, and are calculated from the fatty acid composition of the oil, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Typical fatty acid composition

	x_i			
Fatty acid	sunflower oil ^[7]	palm oil ^[12]	n_i	m_i
Palmitic	0.0670	0.4806	42	0
Stearic	0.0334	0.0430	48	0
Oleic	0.2583	0.3781	42	3
Linoleic	0.6391	0.0983	36	, 6
Linolenic	0.0022	0	30	9

The values of n and m in the pseudo-triacylglyceride, which represents the natural sunflower oil and palm oil, are calculated as follows:

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i x_i \tag{12}$$

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i x_i \tag{13}$$

where N is the number of fatty acids present in the natural oil and i is the fatty acid molecule.

2.3 Calculation

When the UNIFAC-FV model is applied to natural fatty oils, it is necessary to calculate the group composition of the oil from information on its fatty acid composition. In summary, the calculation to estimate Henry's constant are follows equation (10) until equation (11).

3 Result and Discussion

Based on experimental data, the values of the Henry's constant for each couple toluene/solvent are reported in Table 6. The lower weight-fraction Henry's constant is, the more important the tar model solubility in the solvent.

Table 5 Saturation concentrations C₁ for different concentrations in air

System		303	303 K		333 K		
(1) (2)		$C_{G,out}$ (mg m ⁻³)	C_L (g L ⁻¹)	$C_{G,out}$ (mg m ⁻³)	$C_L(g L^{-1})$		
	Sunflower Oil	6786	1.0454	6023	0.951		
Toluene	Palm Oil	6713	1.2570	6580	1.1032		
	Lubrication Oil	6709	1.3899	6342	1.2731		
	water	2830	0.0056	6225	0.0448		

Table 6 Weight-fraction Henry's constant from experimental and literature

System			exp. ight frac.)	H literature (atm/weight frac.)
(1)	(2)	303 K	333 K	298 K
	Sunflower Oil	1.468	1.525	
	Palm Oil	1.277	1.353	_
	Lubrication Oil	1.086	1.122	
Toluene	water	19.650	35.560	60.869 [1]
101000110	heksadecane		_	$0.189^{[1]}$
	oley alcohol (70%)	- 1	-	0.132 [1]

A recapitulative of experimental and literature given on Table 6, lubrication oil appears clearly to be better absorbent than others as it has high capacity of toluene absorption. System of toluene-water of experimental result compared with literature data, there are great deviation.

Predicted Henry's constant generally the VLE for the oil solution systems at infinite dilution is very difficult. The weight-fraction Henry's constant of systems were predicted by fixing C_1 = 1 (equation (9)) and b=1.18, 1.32 and 1.4 for water (equations (17) and (18)), alkanes and different organic compounds as solvent^[3], respectively. Molecule structure of sunflower oil and palm oil representated by a single pseudo-triacylglyseride with the following molecule structure:

Sunflower oil: $[(CH_2COO)_2CHCOO](CH=CH)_5(CH_2)_{38}(CH_3)_3$ Palm Oil: $[(CH_2COO)_2CHCOO](CH=CH)_2(CH_2)_{42}(CH_3)_3$ $\mathrm{CH_2O_2C(CH_2)_{14}CH_3}$

Palmitodiolein: $CHO_2C(CH_2)_7CH=CH(CH_2)_7CH_3$

 $CH_2O_2C(CH_2)_7CH=CH(CH_2)_7CH_3$

Eicosan:

CH₃-18(CH₂)-CH₃

For molecule structure of palm oil can be representated by palmitodiolein and lubrication oil by eicosan [10].

Table 7 Weight-fraction Henry's constant from prediction with C1 =1; b=1.18, 1.32 and 1.4 for water, alkanes and different organic compounds as solvent, respectively

(1)	System	H UNIFAC-FV (atm/weight frac.)			
(1)	(2)	298 K	303 K	333 K	
	Sunflower Oil	-	0.095	0.379	
	(pseudo- triacylglyseride)			0.075	
Toluene	Palm Oil (pseudo- triacylglyseride)	-	0.130	0.444	
	Palm Oil (palmitodiolein)	_	0.145	0.484	
	Lubrication Oil (eicosan)	_	16	79	
	water	59.2	-	-	
	heksadecane	0.102	_		
	oley alcohol (100%)	0.326	_		

The results of prediction by UNIFAC-FV were tabled on Table 7, compared with experimental values (Table 6) has great deviation. To obtain better results, it requires the parameters of UNIFAC-FV model in order to correlate with the experimental data. Therefore, to determine the new parameters C1 of equation (9) and b of equations (11) and (12) was carried out by minimizing the sum of squares between experimental data of Henry's constant and those calculated by the UNIFAC-FV model. The new parameters were given in Table 8.

Table 8 External degree of freedom (C1) and b parameter of systems toluene(1)-solvent(2)

	System		C_1			h	
(1)	(2)	298 K	303 K	333 K	298 K	303 K	333 K
	Sunflower Oil	-	2.003	2.016	-	1.929	1.956
Toluene	(pseudo- triacylglyseride) Palm Oil (pseudo- triacylglyseride)	-	1.006	1.029	-	1.968	2.060
	Palm Oil (palmitodiolein) Lubrication Oil (eicosan)	-	1.014 1.298	1.040 1.311	-	1.974 1.315	2.071 1.408
	water	1.001	-	-	1.184	-	1.400
	heksadecane	1.134	-	-	1.631	4 5	
	oley alcohol (100%)	1.002	-	-	1.259	- 1	_

4 Conclusion

This paper aimed to review VOC model absorption knowledge to determine a absorption capacity several types of oil as absorbent. From experimental data, lubrication oil as the most attractive-

JURNAL TEKNIK, Volume IX, No. 2, November 2010, 57 - 63

absorbent. To obtain better result of prediction by UNIFAC-FV, the parameter C1 and b for oil systems could be adjusted by minimizing the sum of squares between experimental data and those calculated by UNIFAC-FV.

References

- 1. Vuong, M.-D. et.al. *Determination of the Henry's constant and mass transfer rate of VOCs in solvents*, Chem. Eng. Journal, France (2009) 5
- 2. Stelmachowski, M. & Ledakowicz, S., Prediction of Henry's constants by the UNIFAC-FV model for hydrocarbon gases and vapors in high-boiling hydrocarbon solvents. Fluid Phase Equilibria, Poland (1993) 205-217
- 3. Iwai, Y. et.al., Prediction of solubilities for volatile hydrocarbons in low-density polyethylene using UNIFAC-FV model. Polymer Engineering and Science, Japan (1981), Vol.2, No.15
- 4. F. Heymes, P. Manno-Demoustier, et.al. *A new efficient absorption liquid to treat axhaust air loaded with toluene*. Chemical Engineering Journal 115. France (2006) 225-231
- 5. Nam-Hyung Kim, et.al. Solvent activity coefficients at infinite dilution in polystyrene-hydrocarbon systems from inverse gas chromatograpy. Chemical Engineering Journal. Korean (1996) 129-135
- 6. Mackay, D. et.al., Determination of air-water Henry's law constants for hydrophobic pollutants. Environ. Sci. Tech. Canada (1979) 333-337
- 7. Espinosa, S. et.al., *Phase equilibria in mixtures of fatty oils and derivatives with near critical fluids using the GC-EOS model*. Journal of Supercritical Fluids. Argentina (2002) 91-102
- 8. Hasler, P. et.al., Gas cleaning IC engine applications from fixed bed biomass gasification. Biomass and Bioenergy. Switzerland (1999) 385-395.
- 9. Sedlbauer, et.al. *Group contribution method for Henry's law constant of aqueous hydrocarbons*. Dept. of Chemistry, Technical University Liberec, Chezh Republic.
- 10. Wusana, *Preliminary study tar removal from producer gas by oil absorption*. Dept. of Chemical Eng., Technology Institute of Bandung, Indonesia (Master Thesis), 2008
- 11. Wallas, S.M., Phase Equilibria in Chemical Engineering, Butterworth Publishers, 1984.
- 12. Odem, D.O., Palm Oil: *Biochemical, Physiological, Nutritional, Hematological, and Toxilogical Aspect*: A review, Plant Foods for Human Nutrition (2002) 57:319-341